

## **REMARKS**

As a preliminary matter, Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesy extended to their attorney, B. Joe Kim, during the telephone interview conducted on September 14, 2007. The participants agreed that amending claim 1 to recite that “the number of the driving devices used for driving each data signal line is controlled in accordance with a size of said display part,” would overcome the rejection based on the Matsueda et al.


Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsueda et al. Claim 1 has been amended in a manner agreed to in the interview to overcome the Matsueda et al. reference. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsueda et al. in view of Ichikawa et al. Claim 4 depends from claim 1 and is believed to be allowable since claim 1 is now allowable.

For all of the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that this Application is now in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney if an interview would expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

By   
B. Joe Kim  
Registration No. 41,895

**Customer No. 24978**

October 24, 2007

300 South Wacker Drive  
Suite 2500  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Telephone: (312) 360-0080  
Facsimile: (312) 360-9315  
P:\DOCS\0941\66061\C47671.DOC